# **Searching for Faint Stellar Streams in the Distant Halo**

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

# Maia Wertheim<sup>1,\*</sup>, Gustavo Medina<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dunlap Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto \* maia.wertheim@mail.utoronto.ca

## 1. Introduction and Motivation

The Halo Outskirts With Variable Stars (HOWVAST) survey contains very deep catalogues (~5 to 270 kpc), using a region of the sky mostly unobserved at that depth. It also includes a catalogue of more than 500 RR Lyrae stars: variable stars which are very good tracers of old, metal poor stars, such as those comprising stellar streams <sup>3</sup>. The presence of many RR Lyrae and the depth of the survey indicates there is a high chance of

# 2. Stream search code

- Uses **matched filter algorithm** to search for stellar streams
- Takes synthetic isochrone of old, metal poor stellar population and selects stars in range of colours around isochrone

6=ACS

detecting stellar streams within the data.

The stream search code from Shipp et al. 2018 <sup>1</sup> uses Dark Energy Survey data to locate 11 new streams, as well as many more since.

#### **Goal:**

• Learn stream search code, calibrate stacked HOWVAST data, then run data through stream search pipeline to detect very faint / distant stellar streams

## 3. HOWVAST data calibration



Figure 4: HOWVAST and High cadence Transient Survey (HiTS) footprints (Medina et al. 2020<sup>2</sup>). Each dot is one field.

- Specifically working with updating section of code that uses Dark Energy
   Camera Legacy Survey
   (DECaLS) data
- DECaLS and HOWVAST both use
   DECam data
- Uses 5th degree polynomial
  background fitting
  and masking functions





Figure 1: Plot of DECaLS data with selection of known streams overlaid over data, plotted using galstreams

78=PS1-B



Figure 3: Matched filter plot of two clusters from Figure 2 of Shipp et al. 2018

- **Photometrically calibrating** 2017 and 2018 HOWVAST stacked data by field
- Calibrating stacked data by **limiting** and **crossmatching** with National Optical-Infrared Astronomy Research Labratory
  (NOIRLab) data
- Calculate instrumental magnitudes then correct with crossmatched information to find final magnitudes



Figure 5: Plots of 2017 HOWVAST 4th field data, showing respectively NSC g-r colour versus g magnitude difference, a histogram showing magnitude difference between NSC g magnitude and calculated HOWVAST g magnitude, and calculated HOWVAST g magnitude values. The small differences between the nsc and calculated magnitudes are a good sign for the success of the calibration.

Figure 2: Testing masking DECaLS density plot for background fitting

# 5. Next Steps

- Testing by running single calibrated field through
  streamsearch pipeline then run all stacked HOWVAST
  fields
- Once residual density plots for fields found (Figure 7), use RR Lyrae positions + distance moduli from catalogue to zoom in on possible streams
- Expand to running other surveys that use DECam (HiTS, DELVE) through the stream search pipeline

### 4. Preliminary results:

Figure 6: A **VERY** preliminary density plot of HOWVAST data from the streamsearch pipeline! Plot is very pixelated because the HOWVAST footprint is so small, when the pixel sizes are adjusted to compensate it should look similar to Figure 7 in greyscale, and hopefully features will be seen.





Figure 7: Residual density plots that cycle through distance moduli in order to get preliminary identification of streams from Shipp et al. 2018. The goal!

#### References

- <sup>1</sup> Shipp et al., 2018 *ApJ* 862 114, <u>https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aacdab</u>
- <sup>2</sup> Medina G. E. et al., 2020, <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2012.06619</u>
- <sup>3</sup> Baker, M. and Willman, B., 2015 *AJ* 150 160, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/150/5/160</u>