

# Understanding the impact of Bayesian inference on ultra-light axion limits lan Chow<sup>1</sup>, Keir Rogers<sup>1, 2</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, <sup>2</sup>Dunlap Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics

## What are ultra-light axions?

• Axions are theoretical elementary particles that are a well-motivated dark matter candidate • Ultra-light axions (ULAs) have masses ranging from  $10^{-33}$  to  $10^{-20}$  eV

- Behave either like cold dark matter or dark energy depending on their mass
- Distribution of ULAs in parameter space is a 4-D distribution depending on axion, dark matter and dark energy densities  $\Omega_a$ ,  $\Omega_{DE}$  and  $\Omega_c$  respectively, and axion mass  $m_a$
- **Objective:** to develop a robust method of sampling the full 4-D ULA distribution
  - We use CMB data from the *Planck* telescope (below) to constrain axion distribution
  - Prior studies only sampled "slices" of the distribution (right) due to high cost of computing
  - CMB power spectra



• However, new ML methods using axionEmu software make emulating CMB power spectra *much* faster!







Planck CMB map

Hožek et al (2015). Previously estimated contours of the marginal distribution of ultra-light axions (ULAs) in the  $m_a$  -  $\Omega_a$  plane.

### What does the ULA distribution look like?

First design a **4-D test distribution** with qualitatively similar shape to the previously estimated axion distribution



- Evaluate the performance of two different sampling algorithms, Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) and Nested Sampling, on a test distribution
- Both methods were **able to recover** the test 4-D distribution





Demonstrating how the 2-D marginal distribution of ULAs in the  $\Omega_a - \Omega_{DE}$  plane changes as axion mass increases

 $m_a = 10^{-25} \text{ eV}$ 

Scan or **CLICK HERE** for animation

1, 2, and 3σ contours for the 2-D marginal posterior of the test function in the  $m_a - \Omega_a$  plane (left) and for all 4 parameters (right), generated using MCMC sampling. Note that units for the test function are arbitrary.

#### How do we sample the true ULA distribution?

$\omega_{\rm cdm}$	0.10		Λ	
	0.05			
		5		

- Sample the parameter space with MCMC, using the **axionEmu** neural networks (right) to emulate the CMB power spectrum for a given set of axion parameters
  - Compare the axionEmu power spectrum to **Planck** data
- Input layer (cosmological parameters) Output layer  $\Omega_{\lambda}$ 5 dense hidden layers



axionEmu neural networks (**10 seconds** per MCMC chain) can emulate CMB power spectra *much* faster than previous computational methods (**30 hours**)!

Aplanck

- Allows the **full distribution** to be sampled for the first time
  - Preliminary results (left) showing contours for  $\bullet$ the 2-D marginal posteriors of the ULA distribution, at fixed axion mass of  $10^{-25}$  eV
  - **Next steps:** Sample over the full ULA distribution, letting axion mass vary as well



(CMB

power

spectrum)

### Conclusions

- Using MCMC and nested sampling, we recover a test ULA distribution in 4-D parameter space
- Apply sampling methods to derive constraints on true ULA distribution from Planck CMB data in conjunction with new axionEmu neural network